UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
	APPLICATION AND ORDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY
Lucio Cerli	Case No. 18-M)-1101
an information or indictment must be filed () trial of the charges against defendant must The parties seek the exclusion of the foregoing period became	ded from the computation of the time period within which d, or (XW) at commence. (XC) has elicited they believe are likely to result in a disposition of this order to focus efforts on plea negotiations without the risk e time for effective preparation for trial,
The defendant states that he/she has been fully add Sixth Amendment to the Constitution; the Speedy Trial Act this Court adopted pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of tunderstands that he/she has a right to be tried before a jury	vised by counsel of his/her rights guaranteed under the t of 1974, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161-74; the plan and rules of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The defendant
Defendant Counsel for Defendant	For U.S. Attorney, E.D.N.Y.
The joint application of the United States of Amer on the date below, the time period from 2/51/19 computing the time within which (x) an information or indice Court finds that this exclusion of time serves the ends of just defendant in a speedy trial for the reasons discussed on the	ictment must be filed or () trial must commence. The stice and outweigh the interests of the public and the
() given the reasonable likelihood that ongoing without trial, the exclusion of time will allow all counsel to that they would be denied the reasonable time necessary for exercise of due diligence.	
SO ORDERED.	
Dated: Brooklyn, N.Y 2/5 20/9	/s/ RML
	United States Magistrate Judge